Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





# **Ecological Engineering**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ecoleng

# Invasive Spartina alterniflora can mitigate N<sub>2</sub>O emission in coastal salt marshes



Bin Yang<sup>a</sup>, Xiuzhen Li<sup>a,\*</sup>, Shiwei Lin<sup>a</sup>, Zuolun Xie<sup>a</sup>, Yiquan Yuan<sup>a</sup>, Mikk Espenberg<sup>b</sup>, Jaan Pärn<sup>b</sup>, Ülo Mander<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> State Key Laboratory of Estuarine and Coastal Research, Institute of Eco-Chongming, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241, China <sup>b</sup> Department of Geography, Institute of Ecology and Earth Sciences, University of Tartu, 51014 Tartu, Estonia

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Ammonia nitrogen Atlantic cordgrass Denitrification Nitrate nitrogen Nitrous oxide Tidal salt marsh

# ABSTRACT

Although there are studies on nitrous oxide (N2O) fluxes in coastal salt marshes, temporal and spatial variations of this greenhouse gas are still uncertain. Especially salt marshes of the East China Sea coast covered by invasive Sparting alterniflora have shown controversial results. To analyse seasonal patterns of N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes and their relationship with environmental factors, three plots dominated by S. alterniflora, and differing in sediment salinity and vegetation history (P1, P2, P3), and one bare mudflat (P0) in a salt marsh of Nanhui shore in the southern fringe of Yangtze River estuary have been established. Monthly studies from March 2017 to January 2018 using a chamber technique showed that average  $N_2O$  fluxes from all four plots ranged from -41.9 to 39.3  $\mu g$  $N_2Om^{-2}h^{-1}$ , whereas average flux (4.2  $\mu$ gN<sub>2</sub>Om<sup>-2</sup> $h^{-1}$ ) in P1, P2 and P3 was not significantly different from that measured in P0 (1.3  $\mu$ gN<sub>2</sub>O·m<sup>-2</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup>). There was a clear seasonal difference: in spring and summer, all the sites showed slight emission while consumption prevailed in autumn and winter. In vegetated sites this trend was more remarkable than in the bare mudflat. N<sub>2</sub>O flux showed positive correlation (p < .05) with air and sediment temperature, and plant development (height of vegetation). Nitrate was not the limiting factor of N<sub>2</sub>O emission in the Yangtze estuary. In the salt marsh where vegetation community was mature, higher sediment salinity reduced N<sub>2</sub>O emission (P1 < P2) by influencing other environmental factors such as total carbon (TC), total nitrogen (TN) content and sediment texture. In comparison with other tidal macrophytes S. alterniflora showed relatively low N<sub>2</sub>O emission. Therefore, it can be considered as a species for tidal zone stabilisation.

# 1. Introduction

Nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) is a potential trace greenhouse gas. The concentration of N<sub>2</sub>O was 0.275 ppm before the industrial revolution, and is now 0.324 ppm, an increase of about 18% (Chapuis-Lardy et al., 2007). Although concentration of N<sub>2</sub>O in the atmosphere is very low, its greenhouse effect is very high. The enhanced greenhouse effect of N<sub>2</sub>O is about 296 times that of CO<sub>2</sub>, and its contribution to climate warming is about 6% (IPCC, 2013).

In the mid-latitudes of northern hemisphere, N<sub>2</sub>O emissions of rivers, estuaries and continental shelves account for 35% of aquatic emissions, of which estuaries are the main source (Seitzinger et al., 2000). Annual N<sub>2</sub>O emission from natural wetlands is 0.97 Tg·yr<sup>-1</sup> (Tian et al., 2015), however drainage can increase it up to 1.5 Tg·yr<sup>-1</sup>. The highest emission comes from tropical and subtropical drained organic soils (Pärn et al., 2018). In recent decades, the high-intensity human activities have led to increasing nitrogen (N) input in estuarine

areas acting as a natural barrier for purifying terrestrial pollutants and reducing their flux into the sea, estuarine wetlands play a very important role in controlling the nutrient status of estuary and offshore waters and the global N cycle (Nedwell, 1996). On the other hand, increased nitrogen loading such as fertilization may turn coastal and estuarine wetlands from sinks to sources of N<sub>2</sub>O (Zhang et al., 2013; Chmura et al., 2016; Martin et al., 2018; Mou et al., 2019). High N input stimulates microbial life leading to significant increase in denitrification intensity and, thus, N<sub>2</sub>O emission (Moseman-Valtierra et al., 2011).

Denitrification is the main  $N_2O$  source in the estuary sediments, with  $NO_3^-$  successively converted into  $NO_2^-$ , NO,  $N_2O$  and  $N_2$ (Jorgensen et al., 1984; Law et al., 1992). It is affected by environmental factors such as soil temperature (Barnes and Owens, 1998), soil moisture content (Bai et al., 2017), salinity (Zhang et al., 2018), content of total carbon (TC), total nitrogen (TN), and nitrate (Dong et al., 2000) in sediments.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: xzli@sklec.ecnu.edu.cn (X. Li).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2020.105758

Received 7 November 2019; Received in revised form 12 February 2020; Accepted 16 February 2020 Available online 05 March 2020

0925-8574/ © 2020 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.



Fig. 1. Location of the four sampling plots in the Nanhui shore salt marsh of the Yangtze estuary, Shanghai, China (Photo by RapidEye Satellite).

At present, the impact of salinity on the sediment  $N_2O$  emission of estuary wetland is inconsistently known. Salinity affects microbial and plant activity and accelerates sediment C and N mineralization (Tejada et al., 2006). Many studies show that microbial activity is limited by excessive salt through osmotic stress (Smith et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2018). On the other hand, some studies show no significant correlation between the sediment salinity and N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes (Amouroux et al., 2002; Kontopoulou et al., 2015).

Aquatic macrophytes are important regulators of gaseous fluxes in coastal marshes whereas the impact varies among species (Wang et al., 2007; Yin et al., 2015; Yuan et al., 2015). The role of Spartina alterniflora, an invasive species in Chinese coastal marshes, has been reported in several papers considering N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (Wang et al., 2007; Yin et al., 2015; Yuan et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2017; Song et al., 2018; D. Z. Gao et al., 2019a; G. F. Gao et al., 2019b; Mou et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019a), however, the results are by and large contrasting. Thus, Yuan et al. (2015) found that annual N2O emissions in S. alterniflora and Phragmites australis marshes were -0.51 and -0.25 kg N<sub>2</sub>O·ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively while open water, a bare tidal flat and a Suaeda salsa marsh emitted 0.24–0.56 kg N<sub>2</sub>O·ha<sup>-1</sup>. Decrease in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions by Spartina invasion was reported by Mou et al. (2019) who demonstrated that S. alterniflora invasion did not significantly influence N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from the natural Cyperus malaccensis marsh. After N addition, the invasion of S. alterniflora decreased N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, owing to its stronger N uptake capacity. In contrast, Zhang et al., 2019a found that N<sub>2</sub>O emission rate in several coastal marshes increased with Spartina invasion. Similarly, Gao et al., 2019b demonstrated that S. alterniflora invasion significantly increased soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in mangrove wetlands. On the other hand, Gao et al., 2019a found that Spartina invasion enhanced both production and consumption of N<sub>2</sub>O in coastal marshes: potential gross N<sub>2</sub>O production and consumption rates were higher in S. alterniflora and P. australis stands compared to a Scirpus mariqueter stand and a bare mudflat. Thus, Spartina's impact on N2O fluxes is still unclear.

Affected by sewage, the water of the Yangtze River estuary and Hangzhou bay coastal waters suffers from serious eutrophication. An over 1 mg·L<sup>-1</sup> average concentration of dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) has been recorded (Chen et al., 2005), higher than the national standard for water quality (0.5 mg·L<sup>-1</sup>). Several studies have demonstrated that increased N loading increases N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from coastal and estuarine wetlands (Moseman-Valtierra et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2013; Chmura et al., 2016; Martin et al., 2018; Mou et al., 2019). The Nanhui coast and Yangtze estuary are located at the intersection of fresh and brackish water. Thus, physical and chemical characteristics of the tidal sediment have a complex spatial-temporal pattern which, among other factors, determines the soil microbial community structure and N<sub>2</sub>O emission.

The main aim of this study was to analyse  $N_2O$  fluxes in coastal salt marshes covered by invasive *S. alterniflora* for following aspects: (a) temporal and spatial variation of  $N_2O$  fluxes and (b) plants and physical factors of the  $N_2O$  fluxes.

# 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Study site

The study was conducted in an intertidal salt marsh in the Nanhui coastal zone which is located in Shanghai, China (30°50′-31°10′N, 121°50′-122°10′E) and interacts with waters of the Yangtze estuary, Hangzhou Bay and East China Sea. The tides have moderate intensity and an irregular semi-diurnal cycle. The plant community is dominated by the exotic Atlantic cordgrass (*Spartina alterniflora*) species. The Nanhui nearshore wetland has a Far East monsoon climate with an average annual temperature of 15.9 °C and annual precipitation of 1222 mm. Four plots: P1, P2, P3 and P0 (Bare flat) with highest salinity at P1 and different vegetation history were selected for the study. The plant community at P1 was dominated by *S. alterniflora*, and mixed



**Fig. 2.** Principal component analysis ordination plot showing grouping of soil samples according to environmental parameters. The first principal component (PC1) explained 50.5% of total variation, and the second principal component (PC2) explained an additional 22.2%. Abbreviations: total carbon (TC), total organic carbon (TOC), total nitrogen (TN).

*Scirpus mariqueter*; P2 was dominated by *S. alterniflora*, P3 was located at a newly developed *S. alterniflora* bed, and P0 was a bare mudflat (Fig. 1). P1 and P2 were occupied by vegetation already in 2007, whereas the grass in P3 was only two years old. Henceforth, P2 and P3 are referred to as old and young *Spartina* site, respectively.

During last decades this particular seashore area has experienced significant changes. Due to the dramatic sediment decline after the construction of the Three Gorges Dam and other dams in the catchment of Yangtze River, accretion of the delta has slowed down while the outer delta front has eroded (Xu et al., 2006). On the other hand, sealevel rise threatens the coastal zone. To protect the coastline and newly reclaimed areas a seawall was constructed in the Nanhui area in 2002. Recently, during the post-reclamation period, efforts for protection and maintenance of vegetation outside the seawall have been made for safety inside the seawall (Li et al., 2013, 2014).

# 2.2. Experimental design and measurement of gas fluxes

The N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes were measured with a gas chromatography technique, using transparent polymethyl methacrylate chambers (28 cm diameter, 80 cm height) and collars (30 cm diameter, 20 cm height) with a 5 cm groove, inserted into the soil 15 cm deep. Inside each chamber, an electric fan was used to homogenize the chamber air, and a thermometer was fixed to monitor temperature. A triple valve was used to collect the gas samples. During the observation, the chamber was placed into the groove filled with water to prevent gas exchange with the atmosphere. Plants were included in chambers P1, P2 and P3, with five chambers in each plot.

The gas samples were taken at 8:00 am during the low tides once a month from March 2017 to January 2018, using 100 mL syringes to collect 100 mL of chamber gas into pre-evacuated gas sampling bags at 0, 10, 20 and 30 min during a 30 min period. Concentrations of N<sub>2</sub>O in the samples were analysed within 36 h using gas chromatography with an electron capture detector, advanced flow controller, packed column, and N<sub>2</sub> as carrier gas (GC 2014, Shimadzu, Japan).

#### 2.3. Environmental data

Soil temperature at 5 cm depth was measured three times in each collar during each sampling session. Soil and water samples were taken

three times as replicates at each plot. Soil samples were dried for gravimetric soil moisture content and sediment texture analyses with a laser particle size analyser (LS13 320, Beckman Coulter, America). An element analyser (Vario EL III, Elementar, Germany) was used to measure total carbon (TC), total nitrogen (TN) and total organic carbon (TOC) content. Soil samples were leached which 0.1 M HCl to remove carbonate for the measurement of TOC. Soil salinity expressed by electrical conductivity was measured in the soaked-out soil with a 5:1 water: soil ratio. Seawater salinity was measured with a salinity meter (SX836, SanXin, China) and  $NO_3^-$ -N,  $NO_2^-$ -N and  $NH_4^+$ -N concentration in water was determined using a continuous-flow nutrient analyser (SAN plus, Skalar, the Netherlands) according to standard methods (APHA-AWWA-WEF, 2005).

# 2.4. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted with SPSS 20.0, while the figures were plotted with the Origin 9.0 software. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Least Significant Difference (LSD) post hoc tests were applied to evaluate the significance of the differences between plots in environmental factors and N<sub>2</sub>O emission values. Logarithmic transformations were applied as appropriate to ensure that ANOVA assumptions of normality and/or homoscedasticity were met. Principal component analysis (PCA) was used to display and compare the patterns of environmental factors among different studied plots. Pearson and Spearman correlation analyses were used to characterize relationships between N<sub>2</sub>O flux and various environmental parameters. The p < .05 level of significance was accepted in all cases.

# 3. Results

#### 3.1. Temporal and spatial variation of environmental factors

The environmental parameters varied between the studied plots (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Table S1). The first two principal components described 72.7% of total variance. PC1 was mostly determined by the sediment characteristics (salinity, temperature, moisture, TC and TN) and  $\rm NH_4^+$  and  $\rm NO_3^-$  content in sediment water, whereas PC2 was explained by plant height and water salinity. According to the PCA, PO differed from other plots mainly in absence of plants, higher  $\rm NO_3^-$  and water salinity. P1 was characterised by higher sediment salinity, sediment moisture, TOC and  $\rm NH_4^+$  values, and lower sediment temperature compared to the other plots. P2 and P3 were clustered close to each other. They had higher sediment temperature values and smaller TC and TN concentrations compared to the other plots.

Air and sediment temperature showed similar variations in the four plots, with the highest temperature in July and the lowest in January (Fig. 3). Selected environment factors measured in the four plots are shown in Table 1. Physical and chemical characteristics of sediment



Fig. 3. Monthly mean and standard deviation of air and sediment temperature in the four plots from March 2017 to January 2018.

Plot ID	Salinity	Moisture	$MPD^1$	TOC	TC	TN	TC/TN
P1 P2 P3 P0	$\begin{array}{l} (mS/cm) \\ 3.51 \ \pm \ 1.29^{a} \\ 1.25 \ \pm \ 0.38^{b} \\ 1.05 \ \pm \ 0.19^{b} \\ 1.08 \ \pm \ 0.15^{b} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} (\%) \\ 106.7 \ \pm \ 39.6^{a} \\ 50.6 \ \pm \ 5.9^{b} \\ 46.7 \ \pm \ 5.7^{b} \\ 40.3 \ \pm \ 6.6^{b} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} (\mu m) \\ 6.3 \ \pm \ 1.4^{a} \\ 19.5 \ \pm \ 2.7^{b} \\ 28.7 \ \pm \ 6.1^{c} \\ 29.7 \ \pm \ 10.7^{c} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} (g/kg) \\ 9.37 \ \pm \ 1.70^{a} \\ 2.80 \ \pm \ 0.89^{b} \\ 2.55 \ \pm \ 0.66^{c} \\ 1.75 \ \pm \ 1.22^{c} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} ({\rm g/kg}) \\ 18.33 \ \pm \ 0.66^{\rm a} \\ 12.68 \ \pm \ 1.05^{\rm b} \\ 12.36 \ \pm \ 1.12^{\rm b} \\ 11.99 \ \pm \ 1.30^{\rm b} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} (g/kg) \\ 1.31 \ \pm \ 0.18^a \\ 0.47 \ \pm \ 0.08^b \\ 0.37 \ \pm \ 0.07^b \\ 0.37 \ \pm \ 0.14^b \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrrr} 14.2 \ \pm \ 1.48^a \\ 27.6 \ \pm \ 2.83^b \\ 33.6 \ \pm \ 3.27^c \\ 38.0 \ \pm \ 8.32^c \end{array}$

Table 1Mean  $\pm$  standard deviation values of sediment characteristics in the four plots

1: MPD: Median particle diameter.

Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences among plots (p < .05) according to the LSD test.



Fig. 4. Monthly mean and standard deviation of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (µgL<sup>-1</sup>) in the four plots from March 2017 to January 2018.

such as salinity, moisture, median particle diameter, TC, TN and TOC at P1 were significantly different from other plots (p < .05). This is likely related to differences in relief, hydrology and species composition. NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentration in P0 ranged from 712 to 1535 µg·L<sup>-1</sup>, with an average of 1172 µg·L<sup>-1</sup>. This was higher than those in other plots (Fig. 4). Average NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentrations ranged from 3.9 to 46 µg·L<sup>-1</sup>. This was lower than NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentrations and showed similar tendency among the four plots, peaking in July and August.

#### 3.2. Temporal and spatial variation of N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes

Temporal and spatial variation of  $N_2O$  fluxes is shown in Fig. 5. Across all sampling periods, monthly average  $N_2O$  fluxes ranged from -41.9 to  $39.3 \ \mu g \ N_2 O \ m^{-2} \ h^{-1}$  among the four plots (Tables 2 and S2). Maximum  $N_2 O$  emission was measured in July at P2, while maximum  $N_2 O$  consumption was measured in November at P2. The young *Spartina* marsh (P3) showed lower average  $N_2 O$  emission than the older P2 stand. In general, emission of  $N_2 O$  showed a peak in spring and summer while consumption dominated in the three plots with *S. alterniflora* in autumn and winter. Significant differences were observed between sampling periods and plots (p < .05, Tables 2 and S2). Conversely,  $N_2 O$  fluxes in the bare mudflat (P0) consumed  $N_2 O$  during all sampling periods except the summer. Average annual  $N_2 O$  fluxes were graded as P2 > P1 > P3 > P0 (Table 2).



Fig. 5. Dynamics of  $N_2O$  fluxes in the study plots. The central line is the median and the dot is the mean, the edges of the box are the 25th and 75th percentiles, and the whiskers represent the 95% confidence interval.

Table 2	
Seasonal N <sub>2</sub> O fluxes ( $\mu g N_2 O \cdot m^{-2} \cdot h^{-1}$ ) at the four	r

Seasonal	$N_2O$ fluxes (µg $N_2O$	$m^{-2}h^{-1}$ ) at the	he four plots.				
Plot	Annual average	Max	Max	Average values for seaso	ons		
ID		emission	consumption	Spring (March-May)	Summer (Jun. – Sep.)	Autumn (Oct Nov.)	Winter (Dec. – Jan.)
P1 P2 P3 P0	- 25.7 to 24.9 - 41.9 to 39.3 - 21.4 to 16.3 - 13.4 to 15.1	Aug. July Sept. June	Oct. Nov. Sept. Jan.	$6.0^{a}$ 12.9 <sup>a</sup> 7.1 <sup>a</sup> $-1.0^{b}$	21.6 <sup>b</sup> 26.7 <sup>a</sup> 13.7 <sup>a</sup> 10.9 <sup>a</sup>	- 18.6 <sup>c</sup> - 38.4 <sup>b</sup> - 14.7 <sup>b</sup> - 9.7 <sup>c</sup>	-13.8 <sup>c</sup> -1.1 <sup>c</sup> -7.7 <sup>c</sup> -3.6 <sup>bc</sup>

Lowercase letters indicate significant differences between seasons in the same plot (p < .05) according to the LSD test.

#### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1. Comparison with other tidal ecosystems

Our literature analysis covering 35 various tidal ecosystems showed that the *Spartina* marshes are emitting less  $N_2O$  than the bare mudflats (including the lab soil measurements), non-*Spartina* salt marshes and mangroves. The corresponding average (median) emission values were 20 (11), 103 (44), 29 (10) and 106 (13) µg  $N_2O$ -N·m<sup>-2</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup>. Likewise, emissions were the lowest in the *Spartina* marshes (quartile range from 5 to 13 µg  $N_2O$ -N·m<sup>-2</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup> (Table 3).

Comparing with intensively managed grasslands on organic soils in subtropical areas, the vegetated tidal marshlands emitted up to 6 times less  $N_2O$  (IPCC, 2014). Several freshwater wetlands of subtropical and warm temperate zone emit more  $N_2O$  than *Spartina* marshes (Marín-Muñiz et al., 2015).

Salinity and nitrogen availability in sediments are likely leading factors of N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes in *Spartina* marshes. Mesocosm studies by Zhang et al. (2018) demonstrated that N addition significantly enhanced N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes from *Spartina* plots. Likewise, excessive N enhances N<sub>2</sub>O emission

#### Table 3

 $N_2O$  emission (µg  $N_2O\text{-}N\text{-}m^{-2}\text{-}h^{-1})$  from various inter-tidal ecosystems. See Table S3 for data source.

	Mudflat or soil sample	<i>Spartina</i> salt marsh	Non- <i>Spartina</i> salt marsh	Mangroves
Sample size	5	8	8	13
Lower quartile	43	5.0	6.4	1.4
Median	44	11	10	13
Upper quartile	75	13	55	49
Average	103	20	29	106

in all tidal ecosystems (Moseman-Valtierra et al., 2011; Chmura et al., 2016). In our study, Spartina's seasonal growth determined the N<sub>2</sub>O flux showing that the plants were mediating it (Fig. 5). In the dormancy period the marshes consumed N<sub>2</sub>O. Most likely this was due to competition between the plants and microbial communities (Burke et al., 2002; Yang et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019b). Interestingly, under higher salinity Spartina significantly decreased N2O flux over the growth period, down to a negative (Yuan et al., 2015). One can assume that this was due to suppressed microbial processes and greater plant uptake under the saline conditions. It is also possible that the N-fixing diazotroph microflora in Spartina rhizosphere play a role in N supply for both plants and microbes (Gamble et al., 2010). The higher uptake by plants growing on sediments with elevated salinity is supported by laboratory experiments in which clipping of S. alterniflora and Phragmites australis significantly enhanced N<sub>2</sub>O emission (Cheng et al., 2007; Yin et al., 2015). Fig. 6 generalises the possible relationship between N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes and plant seasonal activities under two contrasting salinity conditions. For comparison we present N<sub>2</sub>O emission in a Cyperus malaccensis freshwater marsh in Min River estuary, China (Wang et al., 2018). First of all, the fluxes were significantly higher than in the saline and brackish coastal marshes, and second, the emission fluctuated significantly during the growth period (Fig. 6). This was probably due to the pulsing effect caused by water level fluctuations during the monsoon period. Similar pulsing enhanced N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in other freshwater wetland ecosystems (Mander et al., 2015).

#### 4.2. Correlation between N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes and environmental variables

For better comparison of  $N_2O$  fluxes between warmer and cooler periods the four-season-based data (Table 2) have been grouped in two – March to September and October to January (Table 4). In general, we can see that the correlation between the  $N_2O$  flux and environmental



Fig. 6. Generalised annual dynamics of N<sub>2</sub>O flux in the Spartina alterniflora brackish marsh of this study, the S. alterniflora salt marsh in Yuan et al. (2015) and a Cyperus malaccensis freshwater marsh in Wang et al. (2018).

В.	Yang,	et	al.
----	-------	----	-----

month	air temperature	sediment temperature	Sediment moisture	Sediment salinity	Water salinity	Median diameter	Plant height	$NO_3^{-}$	$NO_2^{-}$	$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$	TOC	TC	NI
MarSep.	0.890**	0.961**	-0.137	0.079	-0.626	-0.314	0.950**	-0.217	0.774*	0.192	-0.55	$0.884^{**}$	$0.812^{*}$
OctJan.	-0.454	-0.521	-0.328	0.814	0.443	0.713	-0.443	-0.108	0.727	0.558	0.838	-0.721	-0.739
MarSep.	0.651	0.673	0.434	-0.113	-0.423	-0.807	0.502	$-0.925^{**}$	0.094	-0.521	-0.184	0.326	0.364
OctJan.	-0.762	-0.737	0.831	0.926	0.588	-0.802	-0.522	-0.544	-0.754	-0.296	0.904	-0.796	0.803
MarSep.	0.541	0.573	0.455	-0.186	-0.429	0.955	$0.813^{*}$	0.067	0.558	0.567	-0.629	$0.917^{*}$	-0.162
OctJan.	-0.731	-0.633	0.061	0.93	0.545	-0.103	-0.161	0.416	0.21	0.245	0.782	0.106	0.106
MarSep.	$0.840^{*}$	0.856*	-0.059	-0.777*	-0.716	-0.318		0.036	-0.156	-0.335	-0.425	0.947*	0.596
OctJan.	-0.266	-0.307	0.543	0.756	0.071	-0.905		-0.791	-0.746	-0.663	-0.026	0.906	0.905
MarSep.	0.550**	$0.612^{**}$	0.194	0.065	$-0.513^{**}$	-0.327	0.704**	$-0.544^{**}$	0.261	-0.08	0.063	0.327	0.255
OctJan.	-0.505*	-0.44	-0.115	-0.013	0.409	0.129	-0.343	0.247	0.018	0.186	-0.027	-0.375	-0.346
	month MarSep. OctJan. MarSep. OctJan. MarSep. OctJan. MarSep. OctJan. MarSep. OctJan.	month         air temperature           MarSep.         0.890**           MarSep.         0.890**           OctJan.         -0.454           MarSep.         0.651           OctJan.         -0.762           MarSep.         0.541           OctJan.         -0.762           MarSep.         0.541           OctJan.         -0.762           MarSep.         0.541           OctJan.         -0.731           MarSep.         0.540*           OctJan.         -0.266           MarSep.         0.550**	month         air temperature         sediment temperature           MarSep.         0.890**         0.961**           MarSep.         0.890**         0.961**           OctJan.         -0.454         -0.521           MarSep.         0.651         0.673           OctJan.         -0.762         -0.737           MarSep.         0.511         0.673           OctJan.         -0.762         -0.737           MarSep.         0.573         0.573           OctJan.         -0.762         -0.737           MarSep.         0.840*         0.856*           MarSep.         0.856*         0.612**           MarSep.         0.550**         0.612**	month         air temperature         sediment temperature         Sediment motion           MarSep. $0.890^{**}$ $0.961^{**}$ $-0.137$ MarSep. $0.890^{**}$ $0.961^{**}$ $-0.137$ OctJan. $-0.454$ $-0.521$ $-0.328$ MarSep. $0.651$ $0.673$ $0.434$ OctJan. $-0.762$ $-0.737$ $0.831$ MarSep. $0.511$ $0.573$ $0.611$ MarSep. $0.731$ $0.661$ $0.831$ MarSep. $0.840^*$ $0.856^*$ $-0.059$ OctJan. $-0.726$ $-0.337$ $0.961$ MarSep. $0.840^*$ $0.856^*$ $-0.059$ MarSep. $0.826^*$ $0.061$ $0.059$ MarSep. $0.550^{**}$ $0.612^{**}$ $0.194$ MarSep. $0.550^{**}$ $0.612^{**}$ $0.115$	MarSep.         0.890**         0.961** $-0.137$ 0.079           OctJan. $-0.454$ $-0.521$ $-0.328$ 0.814           MarSep.         0.651 $0.673$ $0.434$ $-0.1133$ OctJan. $-0.762$ $-0.737$ $0.831$ $0.926$ MarSep. $0.511$ $0.573$ $0.661$ $0.933$ OctJan. $-0.723$ $0.661$ $0.933$ $0.933$ OctJan. $-0.733$ $0.661$ $0.933$ $0.933$ MarSep. $0.840^*$ $0.856^*$ $-0.037$ $0.061$ $0.933$ MarSep. $0.840^*$ $0.856^*$ $-0.037$ $0.055$ $-0.136$ MarSep. $0.550^*$ $0.612^*$ $0.059^*$ $-0.013$ $0.065^*$ OctJan.	Matr-Sep. $0.890^{**}$ $0.961^{**}$ $-0.137$ $0.079$ $-0.626$ Matr-Sep. $0.890^{**}$ $0.961^{**}$ $-0.137$ $0.079$ $-0.626$ Matr-Sep. $0.890^{**}$ $0.961^{**}$ $-0.137$ $0.079$ $-0.626$ Oct-Jan. $-0.454$ $-0.521$ $-0.328$ $0.814$ $0.443$ Oct-Jan. $-0.762$ $0.673$ $0.434$ $0.1814$ $0.423$ Matr-Sep. $0.651$ $0.673$ $0.455$ $-0.128$ $0.324$ $0.423$ Matr-Sep. $0.541$ $0.573$ $0.455$ $-0.186$ $-0.429$ Matr-Sep. $0.541$ $0.573$ $0.661$ $0.93$ $0.545$ Matr-Sep. $0.840^{*}$ $0.856^{*}$ $-0.059$ $0.756$ $0.716$ Matr-Sep. $0.840^{*}$ $0.612^{*}$ $0.051^{*}$ $0.776^{*}$ $0.716^{*}$ Matr-Sep. $0.550^{**}$ $0.612^{*}$ $0.776^{*}$ $0.716^{*}$ $0.716^{*}$ Oct-Jan. <td< td=""><td>Matrix-Sep.         <math>0.890^{**}</math> <math>0.961^{**}</math> <math>-0.137</math> <math>0.079</math> <math>-0.626</math> <math>-0.314</math>           Matrix-Sep.         <math>0.890^{**}</math> <math>0.961^{**}</math> <math>-0.137</math> <math>0.079</math> <math>-0.626</math> <math>-0.314</math>           Matrix-Sep.         <math>0.890^{**}</math> <math>0.961^{**}</math> <math>-0.137</math> <math>0.079</math> <math>-0.626</math> <math>-0.314</math>           Matrix-Sep.         <math>0.851</math> <math>0.651</math> <math>0.673</math> <math>0.433</math> <math>0.713</math> <math>0.713</math>           Matrix-Sep.         <math>0.651</math> <math>0.673</math> <math>0.433</math> <math>0.314</math> <math>0.713</math> <math>0.713</math>           Matrix-Sep.         <math>0.651</math> <math>0.673</math> <math>0.445</math> <math>0.313</math> <math>0.713</math> <math>0.713</math>           Matrix-Sep.         <math>0.541</math> <math>0.573</math> <math>0.445</math> <math>0.926</math> <math>0.925</math> <math>0.902</math>           Matrix-Sep.         <math>0.541</math> <math>0.573</math> <math>0.455</math> <math>0.933</math> <math>0.926</math> <math>0.925</math> <math>0.902</math>           Matrix-Sep.         <math>0.840^{*}</math> <math>0.836^{*}</math> <math>0.061</math> <math>0.933</math> <math>0.933</math> <math>0.715</math> <math>-0.103</math>           Matrix-Sep.         <math>0.840^{*}</math> <math>0.653</math> <math>0.071</math> <math>-0.103</math> <math>0.925</math>&lt;</td><td>Mar-Sep.         <math>0.890^{**}</math> <math>0.961^{**}</math> <math>-0.137</math> <math>0.079</math> <math>-0.626</math> <math>-0.314</math> <math>0.950^{**}</math>           Mar-Sep.         <math>0.890^{**}</math> <math>0.961^{**}</math> <math>-0.137</math> <math>0.079</math> <math>-0.626</math> <math>-0.314</math> <math>0.950^{**}</math>           Mar-Sep.         <math>0.890^{**}</math> <math>0.961^{**}</math> <math>-0.137</math> <math>0.079</math> <math>-0.626</math> <math>-0.314</math> <math>0.950^{**}</math>           Oct-Jan.         <math>-0.737</math> <math>0.673</math> <math>0.433</math> <math>0.713</math> <math>-0.443</math> <math>-0.433</math> <math>0.513</math> <math>-0.433</math> <math>0.713</math> <math>-0.443</math>           Mar-Sep.         <math>0.651</math> <math>0.573</math> <math>0.455</math> <math>-0.186</math> <math>-0.807</math> <math>0.522</math>           Mar-Sep.         <math>0.541</math> <math>0.573</math> <math>0.455</math> <math>-0.186</math> <math>-0.429</math> <math>0.513^{*}</math> <math>-0.522</math>           Mar-Sep.         <math>0.541</math> <math>0.573</math> <math>0.661</math> <math>0.935</math> <math>0.807^{*}</math> <math>-0.103</math> <math>-0.103</math>           Mar-Sep.         <math>0.840^{*}</math> <math>0.856^{*}</math> <math>-0.059</math> <math>0.573</math> <math>0.613^{*}</math> <math>-0.103</math> <math>-0.103</math> <math>-0.103</math> <math>-0.103</math> <math>-0.103</math> <math>-0.103</math> <math>-0.103</math> <math>-0.103</math></td></td<> <td>MarSep.         <math>0.890^{**}</math> <math>0.961^{**}</math> <math>-0.137</math> <math>0.079</math> <math>-0.626</math> <math>-0.314</math> <math>0.950^{**}</math> <math>-0.217</math>           MarSep.         <math>0.890^{**}</math> <math>0.961^{**}</math> <math>-0.137</math> <math>0.079</math> <math>-0.626</math> <math>-0.314</math> <math>0.950^{**}</math> <math>-0.217</math>           MarSep.         <math>0.651</math> <math>0.513</math> <math>0.673</math> <math>0.079</math> <math>-0.626</math> <math>-0.314</math> <math>0.950^{**}</math> <math>-0.217</math>           OctJan.         <math>-0.762</math> <math>-0.737</math> <math>0.831</math> <math>0.313</math> <math>0.443</math> <math>0.713</math> <math>-0.443</math> <math>-0.108</math>           MarSep.         <math>0.651</math> <math>0.573</math> <math>0.434</math> <math>-0.113</math> <math>-0.423</math> <math>-0.807</math> <math>0.522</math> <math>-0.922^{**}</math>           MarSep.         <math>0.541</math> <math>0.573</math> <math>0.455</math> <math>-0.186</math> <math>-0.423</math> <math>-0.802</math> <math>-0.522</math> <math>-0.522</math>           MarSep.         <math>0.541</math> <math>0.573</math> <math>0.061</math> <math>0.93</math> <math>0.545</math> <math>-0.103</math> <math>-0.161</math> <math>0.416</math>           MarSep.         <math>0.840^{*}</math> <math>0.556^{*}</math> <math>-0.732</math> <math>0.055</math> <math>-0.103</math> <math>-0.161</math> <math>0.052</math>           Mar</td> <td>Mar-Sep.         <math>0.890^{**}</math> <math>0.961^{**}</math> <math>-0.137</math> <math>0.079</math> <math>-0.626</math> <math>-0.314</math> <math>0.950^{**}</math> <math>-0.217</math> <math>0.74^{**}</math>           Mar-Sep.         <math>0.890^{**}</math> <math>0.961^{**}</math> <math>-0.137</math> <math>0.079</math> <math>-0.626</math> <math>-0.314</math> <math>0.950^{**}</math> <math>-0.217</math> <math>0.774^{**}</math>           Mar-Sep.         <math>0.651</math> <math>0.673</math> <math>0.732</math> <math>0.443</math> <math>-0.108</math> <math>0.727</math>           Mar-Sep.         <math>0.651</math> <math>0.673</math> <math>0.434</math> <math>-0.113</math> <math>0.423</math> <math>0.713</math> <math>-0.443</math> <math>-0.727</math> <math>0.774^{**}</math>           Mar-Sep.         <math>0.651</math> <math>0.673</math> <math>0.434</math> <math>-0.113</math> <math>0.423</math> <math>0.713</math> <math>-0.443</math> <math>-0.108</math> <math>0.77</math>           Mar-Sep.         <math>0.651</math> <math>0.673</math> <math>0.433</math> <math>0.713</math> <math>-0.443</math> <math>-0.108</math> <math>0.77</math>           Mar-Sep.         <math>0.541</math> <math>0.573</math> <math>0.433</math> <math>0.713</math> <math>-0.674</math> <math>-0.754</math> <math>-0.754</math>           Mar-Sep.         <math>0.541</math> <math>0.538</math> <math>-0.802</math> <math>-0.327</math> <math>0.067</math> <math>0.558</math> <math>0.067</math> <math>0.558</math> <math>-0.164</math></td> <td>Mar-Sep.         <math>0.890^{**}</math> <math>0.961^{**}</math> <math>-0.137</math> <math>0.079</math> <math>-0.626</math> <math>-0.314</math> <math>0.950^{**}</math> <math>0.0217</math> <math>NO_2^ NH_4^+</math>           Mar-Sep.         <math>0.890^{**}</math> <math>0.961^{**}</math> <math>-0.137</math> <math>0.079</math> <math>-0.626</math> <math>-0.314</math> <math>0.950^{**}</math> <math>-0.217</math> <math>0.774^{*}</math> <math>0.192</math>           Mar-Sep.         <math>0.651</math> <math>0.673</math> <math>0.733</math> <math>0.313</math> <math>-0.423</math> <math>0.713</math> <math>-0.217</math> <math>0.774^{*}</math> <math>0.538</math>           Oct-Jan.         <math>-0.762</math> <math>-0.737</math> <math>0.833</math> <math>0.313</math> <math>0.423</math> <math>0.713</math> <math>-0.143</math> <math>0.094</math> <math>-0.521</math> <math>0.727</math> <math>0.538</math>           Oct-Jan.         <math>-0.762</math> <math>-0.737</math> <math>0.833</math> <math>0.313</math> <math>0.926</math> <math>0.713</math> <math>-0.443</math> <math>-0.754</math> <math>-0.754</math> <math>-0.754</math> <math>-0.754</math> <math>-0.266</math> <math>-0.521^{**}</math> <math>0.926</math> <math>0.543</math> <math>0.567</math> <math>0.027</math> <math>0.523^{**}</math> <math>0.0967</math> <math>0.573</math> <math>0.024</math> <math>-0.754</math> <math>-0.754</math> <math>-0.754</math> <math>-0.754</math> <math>-0.766</math> <math>0.567</math> <math>0.244^{**}</math> <math>0.0567</math> <math>0.245</math></td> <td>Mar-Sep         0.890**         0.961**         -0.137         0.079         -0.626         -0.314         0.950**         <math>100^ M4^+</math>         TOC           Mar-Sep         0.890**         0.961**         -0.137         0.079         -0.626         -0.314         0.950**         -0.217         0.774*         0.192         -0.55           Mar-Sep         0.651         0.651         0.651         0.673         0.443         0.443         0.713         -0.443         -0.108         0.727         0.558         0.838           Mar-Sep         0.651         0.673         0.434         0.443         0.443         0.443         0.713         -0.043         -0.057         0.558         0.838           Mar-Sep         0.651         0.673         0.434         0.443         0.443         0.713         -0.433         0.726         0.558         0.838           Mar-Sep         0.651         0.673         0.434         0.713         -0.433         0.567         0.526         -0.528         0.588         0.785           Mar-Sep         0.541         0.572         0.558         0.984         -0.652         -0.528         0.567         -0.528         0.567         0.567</td> <td>month         air temperature         sediment temperature         Sediment moisture         Sediment salinity         Water salinity         Median diameter         Plant height         <math>NO_3^ NH_4^+</math>         TOC         TC           Mar-Sep         0.961**         -0.137         0.079         -0.626         -0.314         0.950**         0.192         -0.55         0.834**           Mar-Sep         0.890**         0.961**         -0.137         0.079         -0.626         -0.314         0.950**         -0.127         0.774*         0.192         -0.55         0.844**           Oct-Jan.         -0.752         0.631         0.673         0.814         0.443         0.713         -0.443         -0.187         0.713         0.727         0.558         0.834**           Oct-Jan.         -0.762         -0.737         0.831         0.926         0.533         0.713         0.6161         0.914         0.527         0.558         0.934*         0.776           Mar-Sep.         0.541         0.573         0.813*         0.926         0.586         -0.184         -0.724         0.727         0.526         0.904         -0.726         0.918*           Mar-Sep.         0.541         0.533         0.616</td>	Matrix-Sep. $0.890^{**}$ $0.961^{**}$ $-0.137$ $0.079$ $-0.626$ $-0.314$ Matrix-Sep. $0.890^{**}$ $0.961^{**}$ $-0.137$ $0.079$ $-0.626$ $-0.314$ Matrix-Sep. $0.890^{**}$ $0.961^{**}$ $-0.137$ $0.079$ $-0.626$ $-0.314$ Matrix-Sep. $0.851$ $0.651$ $0.673$ $0.433$ $0.713$ $0.713$ Matrix-Sep. $0.651$ $0.673$ $0.433$ $0.314$ $0.713$ $0.713$ Matrix-Sep. $0.651$ $0.673$ $0.445$ $0.313$ $0.713$ $0.713$ Matrix-Sep. $0.541$ $0.573$ $0.445$ $0.926$ $0.925$ $0.902$ Matrix-Sep. $0.541$ $0.573$ $0.455$ $0.933$ $0.926$ $0.925$ $0.902$ Matrix-Sep. $0.840^{*}$ $0.836^{*}$ $0.061$ $0.933$ $0.933$ $0.715$ $-0.103$ Matrix-Sep. $0.840^{*}$ $0.653$ $0.071$ $-0.103$ $0.925$ <	Mar-Sep. $0.890^{**}$ $0.961^{**}$ $-0.137$ $0.079$ $-0.626$ $-0.314$ $0.950^{**}$ Mar-Sep. $0.890^{**}$ $0.961^{**}$ $-0.137$ $0.079$ $-0.626$ $-0.314$ $0.950^{**}$ Mar-Sep. $0.890^{**}$ $0.961^{**}$ $-0.137$ $0.079$ $-0.626$ $-0.314$ $0.950^{**}$ Oct-Jan. $-0.737$ $0.673$ $0.433$ $0.713$ $-0.443$ $-0.433$ $0.513$ $-0.433$ $0.713$ $-0.443$ Mar-Sep. $0.651$ $0.573$ $0.455$ $-0.186$ $-0.807$ $0.522$ Mar-Sep. $0.541$ $0.573$ $0.455$ $-0.186$ $-0.429$ $0.513^{*}$ $-0.522$ Mar-Sep. $0.541$ $0.573$ $0.661$ $0.935$ $0.807^{*}$ $-0.103$ $-0.103$ Mar-Sep. $0.840^{*}$ $0.856^{*}$ $-0.059$ $0.573$ $0.613^{*}$ $-0.103$ $-0.103$ $-0.103$ $-0.103$ $-0.103$ $-0.103$ $-0.103$ $-0.103$	MarSep. $0.890^{**}$ $0.961^{**}$ $-0.137$ $0.079$ $-0.626$ $-0.314$ $0.950^{**}$ $-0.217$ MarSep. $0.890^{**}$ $0.961^{**}$ $-0.137$ $0.079$ $-0.626$ $-0.314$ $0.950^{**}$ $-0.217$ MarSep. $0.651$ $0.513$ $0.673$ $0.079$ $-0.626$ $-0.314$ $0.950^{**}$ $-0.217$ OctJan. $-0.762$ $-0.737$ $0.831$ $0.313$ $0.443$ $0.713$ $-0.443$ $-0.108$ MarSep. $0.651$ $0.573$ $0.434$ $-0.113$ $-0.423$ $-0.807$ $0.522$ $-0.922^{**}$ MarSep. $0.541$ $0.573$ $0.455$ $-0.186$ $-0.423$ $-0.802$ $-0.522$ $-0.522$ MarSep. $0.541$ $0.573$ $0.061$ $0.93$ $0.545$ $-0.103$ $-0.161$ $0.416$ MarSep. $0.840^{*}$ $0.556^{*}$ $-0.732$ $0.055$ $-0.103$ $-0.161$ $0.052$ Mar	Mar-Sep. $0.890^{**}$ $0.961^{**}$ $-0.137$ $0.079$ $-0.626$ $-0.314$ $0.950^{**}$ $-0.217$ $0.74^{**}$ Mar-Sep. $0.890^{**}$ $0.961^{**}$ $-0.137$ $0.079$ $-0.626$ $-0.314$ $0.950^{**}$ $-0.217$ $0.774^{**}$ Mar-Sep. $0.651$ $0.673$ $0.732$ $0.443$ $-0.108$ $0.727$ Mar-Sep. $0.651$ $0.673$ $0.434$ $-0.113$ $0.423$ $0.713$ $-0.443$ $-0.727$ $0.774^{**}$ Mar-Sep. $0.651$ $0.673$ $0.434$ $-0.113$ $0.423$ $0.713$ $-0.443$ $-0.108$ $0.77$ Mar-Sep. $0.651$ $0.673$ $0.433$ $0.713$ $-0.443$ $-0.108$ $0.77$ Mar-Sep. $0.541$ $0.573$ $0.433$ $0.713$ $-0.674$ $-0.754$ $-0.754$ Mar-Sep. $0.541$ $0.538$ $-0.802$ $-0.327$ $0.067$ $0.558$ $0.067$ $0.558$ $-0.164$	Mar-Sep. $0.890^{**}$ $0.961^{**}$ $-0.137$ $0.079$ $-0.626$ $-0.314$ $0.950^{**}$ $0.0217$ $NO_2^ NH_4^+$ Mar-Sep. $0.890^{**}$ $0.961^{**}$ $-0.137$ $0.079$ $-0.626$ $-0.314$ $0.950^{**}$ $-0.217$ $0.774^{*}$ $0.192$ Mar-Sep. $0.651$ $0.673$ $0.733$ $0.313$ $-0.423$ $0.713$ $-0.217$ $0.774^{*}$ $0.538$ Oct-Jan. $-0.762$ $-0.737$ $0.833$ $0.313$ $0.423$ $0.713$ $-0.143$ $0.094$ $-0.521$ $0.727$ $0.538$ Oct-Jan. $-0.762$ $-0.737$ $0.833$ $0.313$ $0.926$ $0.713$ $-0.443$ $-0.754$ $-0.754$ $-0.754$ $-0.754$ $-0.266$ $-0.521^{**}$ $0.926$ $0.543$ $0.567$ $0.027$ $0.523^{**}$ $0.0967$ $0.573$ $0.024$ $-0.754$ $-0.754$ $-0.754$ $-0.754$ $-0.766$ $0.567$ $0.244^{**}$ $0.0567$ $0.245$	Mar-Sep         0.890**         0.961**         -0.137         0.079         -0.626         -0.314         0.950** $100^ M4^+$ TOC           Mar-Sep         0.890**         0.961**         -0.137         0.079         -0.626         -0.314         0.950**         -0.217         0.774*         0.192         -0.55           Mar-Sep         0.651         0.651         0.651         0.673         0.443         0.443         0.713         -0.443         -0.108         0.727         0.558         0.838           Mar-Sep         0.651         0.673         0.434         0.443         0.443         0.443         0.713         -0.043         -0.057         0.558         0.838           Mar-Sep         0.651         0.673         0.434         0.443         0.443         0.713         -0.433         0.726         0.558         0.838           Mar-Sep         0.651         0.673         0.434         0.713         -0.433         0.567         0.526         -0.528         0.588         0.785           Mar-Sep         0.541         0.572         0.558         0.984         -0.652         -0.528         0.567         -0.528         0.567         0.567	month         air temperature         sediment temperature         Sediment moisture         Sediment salinity         Water salinity         Median diameter         Plant height $NO_3^ NH_4^+$ TOC         TC           Mar-Sep         0.961**         -0.137         0.079         -0.626         -0.314         0.950**         0.192         -0.55         0.834**           Mar-Sep         0.890**         0.961**         -0.137         0.079         -0.626         -0.314         0.950**         -0.127         0.774*         0.192         -0.55         0.844**           Oct-Jan.         -0.752         0.631         0.673         0.814         0.443         0.713         -0.443         -0.187         0.713         0.727         0.558         0.834**           Oct-Jan.         -0.762         -0.737         0.831         0.926         0.533         0.713         0.6161         0.914         0.527         0.558         0.934*         0.776           Mar-Sep.         0.541         0.573         0.813*         0.926         0.586         -0.184         -0.724         0.727         0.526         0.904         -0.726         0.918*           Mar-Sep.         0.541         0.533         0.616

5.

**Fable 4** 

– significant correlation (p < .05), \*\* – highly significant correlation (p < .01)

from tha GB3 tida itin tion from P2 com opr The N<sub>2</sub>C (P

factors clearly depended on the season showing higher concentration values in warmer period. That is coherent with the growth of Spartina, which actively regulates N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes (Fig. 5). Another important vegetation-related factor is the age of the Spartina stand. In the mature P2 S. alterniflora stand N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes were significantly higher than those in the younger P3 stand (Table 2), although environmental conditions in these sites did not differ significantly. Likewise, in P2 NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration in sediment water strongly determined the N<sub>2</sub>O flux; in warm period the correlation coefficient was as high as 0.925; Table 4) whereas in all other sites no significant correlation between the N<sub>2</sub>O flux and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> was found. N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes positively correlated with air temperature in P1 (p < .01), P0 (p < .05) and P0-P3 (p < .05), and with sediment temperature in P1 (p < .01), P0 (p < .05) and P0–P3 (p < .01) (Table 4). Previous studies also showed that temperature can control N<sub>2</sub>O flux (Rask et al., 2002). For instance, the 5 cm sediment temperature was the main factor of N<sub>2</sub>O emission in the Yangtze River tidal flat in summer (Wang et al., 2007).

 $\rm N_2O$  fluxes showed negative correlation with  $\rm NO_3^-$  concentration in P2 (p < .01). The result was inconsistent with most previous studies which indicated that  $\rm NO_3^-$  promotes denitrification and  $\rm N_2O$  emission (Moseman-Valtierra et al., 2011). As other authors report (Tauchnitz et al., 2008; Sun et al., 2017), plants may use up the  $\rm NO_3^-$  for growth in spring and summer. This is supported by the dynamics of  $\rm NO_3^-$  content in P0 driven by tides while  $\rm NO_3^-$  content in P1–P3 reflected  $\rm NO_3^-$  take-up by plants.

Correlation between N<sub>2</sub>O flux and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> content was insignificant among the four plots. For instance, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentration in P1 was significantly higher than that in the other plots (p < .05), but N<sub>2</sub>O emission in P1 was not different from other plots. DIN in P0 ranged from 0.79 to 1.97 mg/L with an average 1.33 mg/L. That was higher than Category Four standard of sea water quality (DIN  $\leq 0.5$  mg/L, GB3097–1997). The Nanhui nearshore salt marsh was dominated by tidal inputs of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> eutrophic tide, while NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> was not a main limiting factor and it did not directly fuel N<sub>2</sub>O emission. Positive correlation (p < .05) between N<sub>2</sub>O flux and NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration in P1 lasted from March till September.

Plant roots release root exudates and exfoliants as substrates for soil microbial activity (Schlesinger, 1997). Environmental characteristics of P2 such as sediment salinity, water salinity and sediment moisture content were similar to that of P3, but plant height and age of development at P2 were higher than P3. N<sub>2</sub>O flux at P2 was higher than P3. The height of N<sub>2</sub>O emission was also the peak period of plant growth. N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes in PO were low, and significantly correlated with TC (P < .05). This should be related to the fact that soil microorganisms only had sediment C and N for nitrification and denitrification in the bare mudflat. The N2O was probably converted to N2 with N2O reductase (controlled by nosZ genes) under the anoxic conditions (Zumft, 1997; Kolb and Horn, 2012). The activity of plants was higher in spring and summer. Oxygen content in the soil around the plant roots was smaller in the autumn and winter. This promoted the reduction of N<sub>2</sub>O into N<sub>2</sub>. A sealing experiment showed that S. alterniflora could be used as a transport channel of N<sub>2</sub>O (Li, 2015). Spatial variation of greenhouse gas emissions in the Yancheng coastal wetland was mainly attributed to the type of halophyte vegetation (Xu et al., 2014). All that showed that plants promote N<sub>2</sub>O emission.

To the background of global climate change, sea level rise and saltwater invasion are serious threats to salt marshes (Williams and Rosenheim, 2015). Salinity plays an important role in the biogeochemical cycle of carbon and nitrogen in estuaries and coastal wetlands. This study found no effect of sediment salinity on temporal variations of N<sub>2</sub>O emission, which might have been due to long-term adaptation of microorganisms to sediment salinity. However, spatial variations of N<sub>2</sub>O emission were affected by sediment salinity. Likewise, salinity could indirectly affect N<sub>2</sub>O emission through an impact on TOC, TC or TN levels (compare the values in Table S4). In P1 under relatively high salinity the N<sub>2</sub>O flux was lower than that in P2, P3 and PO, although the

TC/TN ratio in P1 was significantly lower. In freshwater ecosystems TC/TN below 15–20 can rapidly enhance  $N_2O$  emission (Klemedtsson et al., 2005) but in saline conditions the relations can be different. Wang et al. (2012) showed that with the increase of salinity on *Phragmites australis* marsh, sediment characteristics significantly changed in the Minjiang estuary from fresh to brackish water. The  $N_2O$  emission in this study was higher than that under high salinity with *S. alterniflora* in Yancheng, China (Yuan et al., 2015; Fig. S3). High  $N_2O$  flux has been recorded in the brackish region of the estuary (Bange et al., 1996; Law et al., 1991). Sun et al. (2017) also found that high salinity could inhibit  $N_2O$  emission in the Yellow River estuary wetland.

Comparison of N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes from Sparting-covered marshes and natural grasses shows sometimes higher fluxes for Sparting (e.g. comparison to S. salsa; Yuan et al., 201%), sometimes for natural grasses (Zhang et al., 2019a). However, in most cases there are no significant differences (Mou et al., 2019; Table 3) but the fluxes from natural grasses show higher variation (Table 3). In addition to relatively low N<sub>2</sub>O emission potential by S. alternifolia beds, one should point out Spartina's importance in bank protection. In Nanhui and similar postreclamation sites potentially damaged by erosion, vegetation is needed for bank stabilisation. In addition to bank protection and low N2O emission, other potential ecosystem services of Spartina such as provisioning biomass for bio-fertilisers, biochar, and raw material for pharmaceutics (Qin et al., 2019) must be pointed out. When harvested in October, Spartina sites can be intensively used by migratory birds (unpublished observations from the Jiangsu coastal area, China). In autumn, when photosynthesis is still active, harvesting will decrease N<sub>2</sub>O flux and also CH<sub>4</sub> emissions (Cheng et al., 2007; He et al., 2013)

# 5. Conclusion

This study found that *Spartina* promotes N<sub>2</sub>O emissions during the growing season and inhibits them during plant dormancy. The *Spartina* areas and the bare mudflat showed no significant difference in annual average N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. Nevertheless, we can conclude that *Spartina* stands are not significant N<sub>2</sub>O sources compared to other tidal macrophytes (Table S3) and thus, they can be considered as a measure to mitigate N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from tidal ecosystems.

Air and sediment temperature, sediment water NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and presence of plants were the main drivers of N2O flux dynamics. However, NO3is not a limiting factor of N<sub>2</sub>O flux in the nitrogen-rich Yangtze estuary. Type and age of plant communities determined the temporal and spatial variability of N2O flux. Sediment salinity affected spatial rather than temporal variability of N2O flux under the relatively low salinity. Seasonal plant dynamics determined N2O emissions whereas in an analogous study in more saline conditions the development of Spartina during the growing season was negatively correlated with N2O fluxes causing the N<sub>2</sub>O consumption instead of emission. No significant correlation was found between N2O flux and environmental characteristics such as TOC, TN or sediment texture. The lack of correlation may be affected by complex abiotic and biotic interactions. Thus, the N<sub>2</sub>O flux shifted between a source and a sink under the complex interactions. Frequent environmental changes due to seasonal and tidal cycles in estuaries and coastal areas complicate N2O production and consumption processes. Therefore, further studies are needed, especially to characterize those mechanisms from a microbial perspective.

# Acknowledgements

The research was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFC0506000 and 2016YFE0133700), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41671463), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, the State Key Lab of Estuarine and Coastal Research (SKLEC-DWJS201802), the 111 Project (B08022), Ministry of Education, China, the Ministry of Education and Research of Estonia (grants IUT2-16 and PRG-352), and the EU through European Regional Development Fund (Centres of Excellence ENVIRON and EcolChange, and the MOBTP101 returning researcher grant by the Mobilitas<sup>+</sup> programme). We also greatly appreciate the efforts of Xiaotong Liu, Lei Meng, Qiuyao Liang and Can Jiang in conducting field and laboratory work.

#### **Declaration of Interest Statement**

None.

# Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2020.105758.

#### References

- Amouroux, D., Roberts, G., Rapsomanikis, S., Rapsomanikis, S., Andreae, M.O., 2002. Biogenic gas (CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, DMS) emission to the atmosphere from near-shore and shelf waters of the North-Western Black Sea. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 54 (3), 575–587. APHA-AWWA-WEF, 2005. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and
- Wastewater, 21th ed. American Public Health Organisation.
- Bai, J., Wang, X., Jia, J., Zhang, G., Wang, Y., Zhang, S., 2017. Denitrification of soil nitrogen in coastal and inland salt marshes with different flooding frequencies. Phys. Chem. Earth Parts A/B/C 97, 31–36.
- Bange, H.W., Rapsomanikis, S., Andreae, M.O., 1996. The Aegean Sea as a source of atmospheric nitrous oxide and methane. Mar. Chem. 53 (1–2), 41–49.
- Barnes, J., Owens, N.J.P., 1998. Denitrification and nitrous oxide concentrations in the Humber Estuary, UK, and adjacent coastal zones. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 37 (3–7), 247–260
- Burke, D.J., Hamerlynck, E.P., Hahn, D., 2002. Interactions among plant species and microorganisms in salt marsh sediments. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 68 (3), 1157–1164.
- Chapuis-Lardy, L., Wrage, N., Metay, A., Chotte, J.L., Bernoux, M., 2007. Soils, a sink for N<sub>2</sub>O? A review. Glob. Chang, Biol. 13 (1), 1–17.
- Chen, Z., Wang, D., Xu, S., Zhang, X., Liu, J., 2005. Inorganic nitrogen fluxes at the sediment-water interface in tidal flats of the Yangtze Estuary. Acta Geograph. Sin. 60 (2), 328–336.
- Cheng, X.L., Peng, R.H., Chen, J.Q., Luo, Y.Q., Zhang, Q.F., An, S.Q., Chen, J.K., Li, B., 2007. CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Spartina alterniflora and Phragmites australis in experimental mesocosms. Chemosphere 68 (3), 420–427.
- Chmura, G.L., Kellman, L., van Ardenne, L., Guntenspergen, G.R., 2016. Greenhouse gas fluxes from salt marshes exposed to chronic nutrient enrichment. PLoS One 11 (2), e0149937.
- Dong, L.F., Thornton, D.C.O., Nedwell, D.B., Underwood, G.J.C., 2000. Denitrification in sediments of the River Colne estuary, England. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 203, 109–122.
- Gamble, M.D., Bagwell, C., LaRocque, J., Bergholz, P.W., Lovell, C.R., 2010. Seasonal variability of diazotroph assemblages associated with the rhizosphere of the salt marsh cordgrass, Spartina alterniflora. Microb. Ecol. 59, 253–265.
- Gao, D.Z., Hou, L.J., Li, X.F., Liu, M., Zheng, Y.L., Yin, G.Y., Yang, Y., Liu, C., Han, P., 2019a. Exotic Spartina alterniflora invasion alters soil nitrous oxide emission dynamics in a coastal wetland of China. Plant Soil 442 (1–2), 233–246.
- Gao, G.F., Li, P.F., Zhong, J.X., Shen, Z.J., Chen, J., Li, Y.T., Zheng, H.L., et al., 2019b. Spartina alterniflora invasion alters soil bacterial communities and enhances soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions by stimulating soil denitrification in mangrove wetland. Sci. Total Environ. 653, 231–240.
- He, Q.H., Huang, J.F., Wang, W.Q., Tong, C., 2013. Short-time effect of mowing Spartina alterniflora on  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  emissions from marshes in Min River estuary. Wetland Sci. 2, 204–211 (in Chinese, abstract in English).
- IPCC, 2013. Summary for policymakers. In: Stocker, T.F., Qin, Q., Plattner, G.K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., Midgley, P.M. (Eds.), climate change 2013: the physical science basis, contribution of working group I to the fifth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change. Camb. Univ. Press, Camb. UKNY NY USA, pp. 3–32.
- IPCC, 2014. 2103 Supplement to the 2006 IPCC guidelines for National greenhouse gas inventories: wetlands. In: Hiraishi, T., Krug, T., Tanabe, K., Srivastava, N., Baasansuren, J., Fukuda, M., Troxler, T.G. (Eds.), IPCC, Switzerland.
- Jorgensen, K.S., Jensen, H.B., Sorensen, J., 1984. Nitrous oxide production from nitrification and denitrification in marine sediment at low oxygen concentrations. Can. J. Microbiol. 30 (8), 1073–1078.
- Klemedtsson, L., Von Arnold, K., Weslien, P., Gundersen, P., 2005. Soil C:N ratio as a scalar parameter to predict nitrous oxide emissions. Glob. Chang. Biol. 11, 1142–1147.
- Kolb, S., Horn, M.A., 2012. Microbial  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  consumption in acidic wetlands. Front. Microbiol. 3, 78.
- Kontopoulou, C.K., Bilalis, D., Pappa, V.A., Rees, R.M., Savvas, D., 2015. Effects of organic farming practices and salinity on yield and greenhouse gas emissions from a common bean crop. Sci. Hortic. 183, 48–57.
- Law, C.S., Rees, A.P., Owens, N.J.P., 1991. Temporal variability of denitrification in estuarine sediments. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 33 (1), 37–56.

- Law, C.S., Rees, A.P., Owens, N.J.P., 1992. Nitrous oxide: estuarine sources and atmospheric flux. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 35 (3), 301–314.
- Li, Y.J., 2015. The mechanism of plant effect on greenhousegas emissions in Yangtze Estuary wetland. Doctoral Dissertation, East China Normal University, shanghai, China, pp. 107–108.
- Li, X.Z., Mander, Ü., Sun, Y.G., He, Y.L., 2013. Effects of land use intensity on soil nutrient distribution after reclamation in an estuary landscape. Landsc. Ecol. 28 (4), 699–707.
- Li, X.Z., Ren, L.-J., Craft, C., Mander, Ü., Yang, S.L., 2014. The impact of the change in vegetation structure on the ecological functions of salt marshes: the example of the Yangtze Estuary. Reg. Environ. Chang. 14, 623–632.
- Mander, Ü., Maddison, M., Soosaar, K., Teemusk, A., Kanal, A., Uri, V., Truu, J., 2015. The impact of a pulsing groundwater table on greenhouse gas emissions in riparian grey alder stands. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 22, 2360–2371.
- Marín-Muñiz, J.L., Hernandéz, M.E., Moreno-Casaola, P., 2015. Greenhouse gas emissions from coastal freshwater wetlands in Veracruz, Mexico: effect of plant community and seasonal dynamics. Atmos. Environ. 107, 107–117.
- Martin, R.M., Wigand, C., Elmstrom, E., Lloret, J., Valiela, I., 2018. Long-term nutrient addition increases respiration and nitrous oxide emissions in a New England salt marsh. Ecol. Evol. 8 (10), 4958–4966.
- Moseman-Valtierra, S., Gonzalez, R., Kroeger, K.D., Tang, J., Chao, W.C., Crusius, J., Shelton, J., et al., 2011. Short-term nitrogen additions can shift a coastal wetland from a sink to a source of N<sub>2</sub>O. Atmos. Environ. 45 (26), 4390–4397.
- Mou, X.J., Liu, X.T., Sun, Z.G., Tong, C., Lu, X.R., 2019. Short-term effect of exogenous nitrogen on N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes from native and invaded tidal marshes in the Min River estuary, China. Wetlands 39 (1), 139–148.

Nedwell, D.B., 1996. Estuaries and saltmarshes: the interface between land and sea. Environ. Manag. Health 7 (2), 20–23.

- Pärn, J., Verhoeven, J.T., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Dise, N.B., Ullah, S., Aasa, A., ...Mander, Ü., 2018. Nitrogen-rich organic soils under warm well-drained conditions are global nitrous oxide emission hotspots. Nat. Commun. 9, 1135.
- Qin, P., Zhang, H.S., Qin, F.F. (Eds.), 2019. Ecological Engineering with Spartina alterniflora. Chemical Industry Press, Beijing 321pp. (In Chinese).
- Rask, H., Schoenau, J., Anderson, D., 2002. Factors influencing methane flux from a boreal forest wetland in Saskatchewan, Canada. Soil Biol. Biochem. 34 (34), 435–443.
- Schlesinger, W.H., 1997. Biogeochemistry: An Analysis of Global Change. Academic Press San Diego, Inc., USA.
- Seitzinger, S.P., Kroeze, C., Styles, R.V., 2000. Global distribution of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from aquatic systems: natural emissions and anthropogenic effect. Chemosphere-Global Change Sci. 2 (3–4), 267–279.
- Smith, K.A., Ball, T., Conen, F., Dobbie, K.E., Massheder, J., Rey, A., 2003. Exchange of greenhouse gases between soil and atmosphere: interactions of soil physical factors and biological processes. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 54 (4), 779–791.
- Song, H.L., Liu, X.T., Yu, W.N., Wang, L.Z., 2018. Seasonal and spatial variation of nitrogen oxide fluxes from human-disturbance coastal wetland in the Yellow River estuary. Wetlands 38 (5), 945–955.
- Sun, W., Sun, Z., Mou, X., Sun, W., Hu, X., 2017. Nitrous oxide emissions from intertidal zone of the Yellow River Estuary in autumn and winter during 2011–2012. Estuar. Coasts 40, 145–159.
- Tauchnitz, N., Brumme, R., Bernsdorf, S., Meissner, R., 2008. Nitrous oxide and methane

fluxes of a pristine slope mire in the German National Park Harz Mountains. Plant Soil 303 (1–2), 131–138.

- Tejada, M., Garcia, C., Gonzalez, J.L., Hernandez, M.T., 2006. Use of organic amendment as a strategy for saline soil remediation: influence on the physical, chemical and biological properties of soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 38 (6), 1413–1421.
- Tian, H., Chen, G., Lu, C., Xu, X., Ren, W., Zhang, B., Zhang, C., et al., 2015. Global methane and nitrous oxide emissions from terrestrial ecosystems due to multiple environmental changes. Ecosystem Health and Sustainability 1 (1), 1–20.
- Wang, D., Chen, Z., Wang, J., Xu, S., Yang, H., Chen, H., ...Hu, L., 2007. Summer-time denitrification and nitrous oxide exchange in the intertidal zone of the Yangtze Estuary. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 73, 43–53.
- Wang, X., Hu, M., Ren, H., Li, J., Tong, C., Musenze, R.S., 2018. Seasonal variations of nitrous oxide fluxes and soil denitrification rates in subtropical freshwater and brackish tidal marshes of the Min River estuary. Sci. Total Environ. 616, 1404–1413.
- Wang, W.Q., Wang, C., Tong, C., Zeng, C.S., Jia, R.X., Huang, J.F., 2012. Soil organic carbon along a salinity gradient in *Phragmites australis* marsh in the Minjiang River Estuary. Wetland Science 10 (2), 164–169.
- Williams, E.K., Rosenheim, B.E., 2015. What happens to soil organic carbon as coastal marsh ecosystems change in response to increasing salinity? An exploration using ramped pyrolysis. Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 16, 2322–2335.
- Xu, X.W.H., Fu, G.H., Zhou, X.Q., Ge, C.H., Zhao, Y.F., 2017. Diurnal variations of carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous oxide fluxes from invasive *Spartina alterniflora* dominated coastal wetland in northern Jiangsu Province. Acta Oceanol. Sin. 36 (4), 105–113.
- Xu, K.H., Milliman, J.D., Yang, Z.S., Wang, H.J., 2006. Yangtze sediment decline partly from three Gorges Dam. Eos 87 (19), 185–190.
- Xu, X., Zou, X., Cao, L., Zhamangulova, N., Zhao, Y., Tang, D., Liu, D., 2014. Seasonal and spatial dynamics of greenhouse gas emissions under various vegetation covers in a coastal saline wetland in Southeast China. Ecol. Eng. 73, 469–477.
- Yin, S.L., An, Q., Deng, Q., Zhang, J.H., Ji, H.T., Cheng, X.L., et al., 2015. Spartina alterniflora invasions impact CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes from a salt marsh in eastern China. Ecol. Eng. 81, 192–199.
- Yuan, J., Ding, W., Liu, D., Kang, H., Freeman, C., Xiang, J., Lin, Y., 2015. Exotic Spartina alterniflora invasion alters ecosystem–atmosphere exchange of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O and carbon sequestration in a coastal salt marsh in China. Glob. Chang. Biol. 21 (4), 1567–1580.
- Zhang, Y., Wang, L., Xie, X., Huang, L., Wu, Y., 2013. Effects of invasion of Spartina alterniflora and exogenous N deposition on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in a coastal salt marsh. Ecol. Eng, 58, 77–83.
- Zhang, L., Song, L., Wang, B., Shao, H., Zhang, L., Qin, X., 2018. Co-effects of salinity and moisture on CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions of laboratory-incubated salt-affected soils from different vegetation types. Geoderma 332, 109–120.
- Zhang, C.B., Liu, W.L., Guan, M., Wang, J., Pan, X.C., Ge, Y., Chang, J., 2019a. Nitrous oxide emission rate in response to plant, soil and microbial properties in marshes impacted by alien *Spartina alterniflora*. Biologia 74 (9), 1087–1097.
- Zhang, G., Bai, J., Jia, J., Wang, W., Wang, X., Zhao, Q., Lu, Q., 2019b. Shifts of soil microbial community composition along a short-term invasion chronosequence of *Spartina alterniflora* in a Chinese estuary. Sci. Total Environ. 657, 222–233.
- Zumft, W.G., 1997. Cell biology and molecular basis of denitrification. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 61 (4), 533–616.